

# Semantics - 2

Matthew J. Graham  
CACR

Methods of Computational Science  
Caltech, 2009 April 7

*matthew graham*

---

# knowledge representation

- | **Expressivity** is the ability to describe certain aspects of the world

- | Concept schemes can be arranged in terms of expressivity, with more expressive ones capable of expressing a wider variety of statements:

An ontology is a formal specification of a conceptualization - it is a data model that represents a set of concepts within a domain and the relationships between those concepts.

Ontologies generally describe:

- Individuals: the basic or "ground level" objects
- Classes: sets, collections, or types of objects
- Attributes: properties, features, characteristics, or parameters that objects can have and share
- Relations: ways that objects can be related to one another
- Events: the changing of attributes or relations

---

# ontology structure

Ontologies typically have two distinct components:

Names for important concepts in the domain:

- **Elephant** is a concept whose members are a kind of animal
- **Herbivore** is a concept whose members are exactly those animals who eat plants or parts of plants
- **Adult\_Elephant** is a concept whose members are exactly those elephants whose age is greater than 20 years

Background knowledge/constraints on the domain:

- Adult\_Elephants weigh at least 2000kg
- All Elephants are either African\_Elephants or Indian\_Elephants
- No individual can be both a Herbivore and a Carnivore

- W3C standard for describing RDF vocabularies: RDF Schema is the RDF Vocabulary Description Language
- A semantic extension to RDF that provides mechanisms for describing classes of resources and the properties that will be used with them
- Gives special meaning to certain RDF properties and resources
- Provides the means to describe application specific RDF vocabularies

Describing classes:

-- **rdfs:Class** and **rdfs:subClassOf**

Describing properties:

-- **rdfs:domain** and **rdfs:range**

Others:

-- **rdfs:subPropertyOf**, **rdfs:comment**, **rdfs:label**, **rdfs:seeAlso**,  
**rdfs:isDefinedBy**

# rdfs example

---

```
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
  xml:base="http://www.example.org/biology#">
  <rdfs:Class rdf:ID="organism">
    <rdfs:comment>Class to describe a living organism</rdfs:comment>
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#Resource" />
  </rdfs:Class>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:ID="animal">
    <rdfs:comment>Class to describe an animal</rdfs:comment>
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#organism" />
  </rdfs:Class>
  <rdfs:Class rdf:ID="horse">
    <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#animal"/>
    <rdfs:comment>Class to describe a horse</rdfs:label>
  </rdfs:Class>
  <rdf:Property rdf:ID="scientificName">
    <rdfs:comment>The scientific name of an organism</rdfs:comment>
    <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#organism"/>
    <rdfs:range rdf:resource="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#Literal"/>
  </rdf:Property> </rdf:RDF>
```

# rdf example

---



```
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns="http://www.example.org/biology#">
  <horse rdf:ID="Seabiscuit">
    <scientificName>Equus ferus caballus</scientificName>
  </horse>
</rdf:RDF>
```

*matthew graham*

---



## rdfs limitations

---

■ RDF and RDFS provide basic capabilities for describing vocabularies that describe resources

■ Other capabilities are desirable, for example:

- Cardinality constraints (e.g. exactly one)
- Specifying that properties are transitive (e.g. if A is B and B is C then A is C)
- Specifying inverse properties
- Specifying the 'local' range and/or cardinality for a property when used with a given class
- Describing new classes by combining existing classes (using intersections and unions)
- Negation (using 'not')

---

# web ontology language (owl)

- | W3C standard for authoring ontologies

- | Based on RDF (OWL semantically extends RDFS)

- | Regarded as one of the fundamental technologies underpinning the Semantic Web

- | OWL allows descriptions of:

- relations between classes (e.g. disjointness)
- cardinality (e.g. "exactly one")
- characteristics of properties (e.g. symmetry)
- enumerated classes

# owl components

---

■ Data is interpreted as:

- a set of **individuals**
- a set of **property assertions** relating the individuals to each other
- a set of **axioms** placing constraints on sets of individuals (**classes**) and the types of relationships allowed between them

■ For example, the family ontology:

- "hasMother" is only present between two individuals when "hasParent" is also present
- members of "HasTypeOBlood" are never related via "hasParent" to members of "HasTypeABBlood"
- If Ada "hasMother" Anne and Ada is "HasTypeOBlood" then Anne is not "HasTypeABBlood"

# types of owl

---

## OWL Lite

- Simplest type meant to support taxonomies with simple constraints, e.g. cardinality is 0 or 1

## OWL-DL

- Designed for maximum expressiveness with completeness, decidability and practical reasoning algorithms
- Corresponds to a description logic
- Certain restrictions on how/where language constructs can be used in order to guarantee decidability (e.g. transitive properties cannot have number restrictions)

## OWL Full

- No restrictions on how/where language constructs can be used
- Compatible with RDFS
- Not decidable and complete reasoning is probably insupportable

OWL Lite constructs as legal and valid as OWL-DL constructs and OWL-DL constructs are legal and valid as OWL Full constructs

OWL supports six main ways of describing classes:

| **Named** class: Professor

| **Intersection** class: Human  $\sqcap$  Female

| **Union** class: JavaProgrammer  $\sqcup$  CProgrammer

| **Complement** class:  $\neg$  Professor  $\sqcap$  Woman

| **Restriction** class

-- **Existential**:  $\exists$  hasColleague Lecturer

-- **Universal**:  $\forall$  hasColleague Professor

-- **Cardinality**: hasParent = 2

-- **Has Value**: hasColleague  $\ni$  Matthew

| **Enumerated** class: {George Matthew Ashish Ciro Roy}

# owl properties

---

OWL has two main categories of properties:

- **Object** properties: link individuals to individuals
- **Datatype** properties: link individuals to datatype values

Object properties can have an inverse, e.g. worksFor and employs

Properties can have a specified **domain** and **range**

Certain property characteristics can be specified:

- **Functional**: for a given individual, the property takes only value, e.g. husband
- **Inverse functional**: the inverse of the property is functional (c.f. rdb keys)
- **Symmetric**: if A links to B then it can be inferred that B links to A
- **Transitive**: if A links to B and B links to C then it can be inferred that A links to C

# owl example: sheep

---

```
<owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#sheep">
  <rdfs:label>sheep</rdfs:label>
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#animal"/>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="http://www.example.org/biology#eats"/>
      <owl:allValuesFrom>
        <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#grass"/>
      </owl:allValuesFrom>
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
```

*matthew graham*

---

---

# owl example: grass and plants

```
<owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#grass">  
  <rdfs:label>grass</rdfs:label>  
  <rdfs:subClassOf>  
    <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#plant"/>  
  </rdfs:subClassOf>  
</owl:Class>
```

```
<owl:Class>  
  <owl:unionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">  
    <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#plant"/>  
  <owl:Restriction>  
    <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="http://www.example.org/biology#part_of"/>  
    <owl:someValuesFrom>  
      <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#plant"/>  
    </owl:someValuesFrom>  
  </owl:Restriction>
```



```
</owl:unionOf>
<owl:disjointWith>
  <owl:Class>
    <owl:unionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
      <owl:Restriction>
        <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="http://www.example.org/biology#part_of"/>
        <owl:someValuesFrom>
          <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#animal"/>
        </owl:someValuesFrom>
      </owl:Restriction>
      <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#animal"/>
    </owl:unionOf>
  </owl:Class>
</owl:disjointWith>
</owl:Class>
```

---

# owl example: vegetarian

```
<owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#vegetarian">
  <rdfs:label>vegetarian</rdfs:label>
  <owl:equivalentClass>
    <owl:Class>
      <owl:intersectionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
        <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#animal"/>
        <owl:Restriction>
          <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="http://www.example.org/biology#eats"/>
          <owl:allValuesFrom>
            <owl:Class>
              <owl:complementOf>
                <owl:Restriction>
                  <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="http://www.example.org/biology#part_of"/>
                  <owl:someValuesFrom>
                    <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#animal"/>
                  </owl:someValuesFrom>
                </owl:Restriction>
              </owl:complementOf>
            </owl:Class>
          </owl:allValuesFrom>
        </owl:Restriction>
      </owl:intersectionOf>
    </owl:Class>
  </owl:equivalentClass>
</owl:Class>
```

```
    </owl:Restriction>
  </owl:complementOf>
</owl:Class>
</owl:allValuesFrom>
</owl:Restriction>
<owl:Restriction>
  <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="http://www.example.org/biology#eats"/>
  <owl:allValuesFrom>
    <owl:Class>
      <owl:complementOf>
        <owl:Class rdf:about="http://www.example.org/biology#animal"/>
      </owl:complementOf>
    </owl:Class>
  </owl:allValuesFrom>
</owl:Restriction>
</owl:intersectionOf>
</owl:Class>
</owl:equivalentClass>
</owl:Class>
```

# inference and reasoning

---

Computers provide reasoning services over a knowledge domain where the domain and the knowledge have been formally and rigorously specified and reasoning algorithms have been implemented in a way which that computer can apply.

Reasoning with OWL-DL: can infer information that is not explicitly represented in an ontology

- subsumption testing
- equivalent testing
- consistency testing
- instantiation testing

## inference example

---

Sheep only eat grass

Grass is a plant

Plants and parts of plants are disjoint from animals and parts of animals

Vegetarians only eat things which are not animals or parts of animals

=> sheep are vegetarians!

software

---

- Protege ([protege.stanford.edu](http://protege.stanford.edu))
- Jena ([jena.sourceforge.net](http://jena.sourceforge.net))